1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	
27 28 Salisian Leeus	 COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Ameris Bank, a Georgia state-chartered banking corporation doing business as Balboa Capital Corporation, ("Balboa" or "Plaintiff"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff Ameris Bank d/b/a Balboa Capital Corporation ("Balboa" or "Plaintiff") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a Georgia state-chartered banking corporation with Balboa Capital Corporation as one if its divisions, which division has its principal place of business in the State of California, County of Orange.
- 2. Defendant Zariz Transport Inc. ("Zariz Transport") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in the County of Palm Beach, State of Florida.
- 3. Defendant Yaakov Israel Guzelgul ("Guzelgul"), an individual, is and at all times relevant to this action was, a resident of the County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, and was an officer, director, shareholder, agent and/or owner of Defendant Zariz Transport.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant, directly or indirectly, or through agents or other persons, was engaged with some or all of the other Defendants in a joint enterprise for profit, and bore such other relationships to some or all of the other Defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters alleged below. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant acted pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, that each Defendant knew or should have known about the foregoing, and that each Defendant authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, and aided and abetted the conduct of all other Defendants.
- 5. The obligations sued upon herein are commercial in nature and the Complaint herein is not subject to the provisions of California Civil Code section

1801, et seq. (Unruh Retail Installment Sales Act), and/or California Civil Code section 2981, et seq. (Rees-Levering Motor Vehicle Sales and Finance Act).

6. Pursuant to the Equipment Financing Agreement and Guaranty described herein below, defendant Zariz Transport and defendant Guzelgul agreed that those documents would be governed by the laws of the State of California. In addition, the Equipment Financing Agreement provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

30. CONSENT TO EXCLUSIVE AND MANDATRY JURISDICTION AND VENUE OF CALIFRNIA. Debtor submits to the jurisdiction of California and agrees that any action or proceeding to enforce this Agreement, or any action or proceeding arising out of or related to this Agreement will be exclusively commenced, initiated and litigated in the California State Courts of Orange County California and/or the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Santa Ana Division.

- 7. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. This Court has jurisdiction over the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 8. <u>Venue</u>. The venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), and in the Southern Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(3).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Equipment Financing Agreement) (Against Zariz Transport)

- 9. Balboa alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above, inclusive, as though each were fully set forth here.
- 10. Prior to August 2022, Balboa is informed and believes that Zariz Transport initiated and engaged with MHC Truck Source Atlanta, located at 1630 Iris Drive SW, Conyers, GA 30094 ("Equipment Vendor"), in order to coordinate the acquisition and financing of certain equipment (hereinafter referred to as the "Collateral") for its business. The Equipment Vendor worked with Zariz Transport in the selection of the Collateral and in coordinating its delivery.

- 12. On or about August 24, 2022, Zariz Transport executed a certain written Equipment Financing Agreement No. 419188-000 (the "EFA"), under the terms of which Balboa loaned to Zariz Transport the principal sum of One-Hundred Sixty-Eight Thousand Four-Hundred Ninety-Seven Dollars and Sixty-Two Cents (\$168,497.62), in order to finance the Collateral for its business. The EFA required Zariz Transport to make sixty (60) monthly payments of \$3,563.55, payable on the 24th day of each month, beginning October 24, 2022. A true and correct copy of the EFA is attached as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated here by reference.
- 13. The last payment received by Balboa was credited toward the monthly payment due for May 24, 2023. Therefore, on or about June 24, 2023, Zariz Transport breached the EFA by failing to make the monthly payment due on that date. Defendant Zariz Transport's failure to make timely payments is a default under the terms of the EFA.
- 14. In accordance with the EFA, and as a proximate result of Zariz Transport's default thereunder, Balboa declared the entire balance of the payments under the EFA to be immediately due and payable to Balboa. In addition, pursuant to the EFA, Balboa is entitled to recover all accelerated payments due under the EFA. Therefore, there became due the sum of \$185,304.60. These amounts are exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs, no portion of which sum has been paid by Zariz Transport.

- 15. Balboa has performed all of the terms, conditions, and covenants required to be performed by it under the terms of the EFA, except as excused or prevented by the conduct of Zariz Transport.
- 16. In addition, the terms of the EFA provide that Zariz Transport is liable to Balboa for late charges on all payments not made in a timely manner. As of the date of the filing of Balboa's Complaint, late charges in the sum of \$855.26 are now due and owing.
- 17. As a proximate result of Zariz Transport's breach of the EFA, Balboa has been damaged in the total sum of \$186,159.86, plus prejudgment interest from June 24, 2023, until the entry of judgment herein.
- 18. Further, under the terms of the EFA, Zariz Transport promised to pay all costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred by Balboa in the enforcement of the EFA. Therefore, Balboa requests the Court award Balboa its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as against Zariz Transport.
- 19. The EFA also provides Balboa the remedy of possession of the Collateral and to obtain an order that Balboa may, in accordance with applicable state law, sell the remaining Collateral and apply the net proceeds from the sale to the remaining loan balance. Alternatively, if possession cannot be had, Balboa is entitled to recover the value of the Collateral.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Guaranty)

(Against Guzelgul)

- 20. Balboa alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above, inclusive, as though each were fully set forth here.
- 21. Concurrent with the execution of the EFA, and in order to induce Balboa to enter into the EFA with Zariz Transport, Guzelgul guaranteed, in writing, the payment of the then existing and future indebtedness due and owing to Balboa under the terms of the EFA. A true and correct copy of the written Personal

- 22. Balboa has performed all the terms, conditions, and covenants required to be performed by Balboa under the terms of the Guaranty, except as excused or prevented by the conduct of Guzelgul.
- 23. Following a default of Zariz Transport under the terms of the EFA, Balboa demanded Guzelgul make the payments required under the EFA. Guzelgul failed to meet the Guaranty obligations and make the payments required under the EFA.
- 24. Pursuant to the terms of the Guaranty, the sum of \$186,159.86, plus prejudgment interest from June 24, 2023, is due and payable to Balboa from Guzelgul. This Complaint, in addition to previous demands, shall constitute further demand upon Guzelgul to pay the entire indebtedness due and owing from Zariz Transport to Balboa under the terms of the EFA.
- 25. Under the terms of the Guaranty, Guzelgul promised to pay all costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred by Balboa in the enforcement of the EFA and the Guaranty. Therefore, Balboa requests the Court award Balboa its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as against Guzelgul.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Balboa prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

On the First and Second Causes of Action:

- 1. The principal sum \$186,159.86;
- 2. Prejudgment interest from June 24, 2023 to the date of entry of judgment;
- 3. Late charges and non-sufficient charges in an amount to be proven at trial;

An order to recover possession of the Collateral, which is the subject 4. 1 of the EFA, or if the Collateral cannot be delivered, for its reasonable value 2 according to proof; 3 Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; 5. 4 Costs of suit as provided by law; and 6. 5 Such other and further relief that the Court considers proper. 7. 6 7 DATED: December 22, 2023 SALISIAN | LEE LLP 8 9 By: 10 Neal S. Salisian Glenn R. Coffman 11 Jared T. Densen 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff AMERÍS BANK d/b/a BALBOA CAPITAL 13 CORPORATION 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28